

DCP 266 Working Group Meeting 17

26 September 2018 at 10:00am

Skype Meeting / Teleconference

Attendee	Company
Working Group Members	
George Moran [GM]	British Gas
Andrew Enzor [AE]	Northern Powergrid
Mike Harding [MH]	BU-UK Infrastructure
Matt Johnson [MJ]	ESP Electricity
Dave Wornell [DW]	WPD
Chris Ong [CO]	UKPN
Chris Barker [CB]	ENWL
Code Administrator	
John Lawton [JL] (Chair)	ElectraLink
Dylan Townsend [DT] (Technical Secretariat)	ElectraLink

Apologies	Company
n/a	

1. Administration

- 1.1 The Secretariat noted the welcome and apologies for this meeting.
- 1.2 The Working Group reviewed the “Competition Law Do’s and Don’ts”. All Working Group members agreed to be bound by the Competition Laws Do’s and Don’ts for the duration of the meeting.
- 1.3 The Working Group reviewed the minutes from the last meeting, noting that the Chair had requested amendments following the previous meeting and an amended version of the minutes had been provided to the Working Group this meeting. The Working Group agreed that the amended minutes were an accurate reflection of the discussions held and these act as Attachment 1 to this set of minutes.
- 1.4 The Working Group noted the items on the actions list from the last meeting. Updates on all actions are provided in Appendix A.

2. Review of Actions/Issues Log & Output of Completed Actions

- 2.1 It was noted that the following the Working Groups review of the consultation responses, an action/issues log was created to detail and keep track of a variety of issues/actions. The Secretariat noted that the action related to finding and collating information from discussions held during DCMF MIG meetings as a background to DCP 266 had been completed and that the document will be circulated with the minutes, which is provided as Attachment 2.
- 2.2 The Working Group reviewed and updated the actions/issues log during the meeting and the updated the actions/issues log acts as Attachment 3 to the minutes

3. Review of Draft Consultation Document

- 3.1 The Working Group reviewed and updated the draft consultation document during the meeting and the document capturing these amendments acts as Attachment 4 to the minutes.
- 3.2 During the Working Groups review of the draft consultation document, a number of actions were recorded, which are set out below:

ACTION: 17/01 – ElectraLink to amend the draft legal text document to include the introductory sections of Schedules 17,18 and 20 which contain the model version number and effective date as updated versions of the models will be required as part of this change.

ACTION: 17/02 – AE to provide introductory paragraph to subsection titled ‘Unintended Consequences of Input Data’ in section 4 of the draft consultation document.

ACTION: 17/03 – ElectraLink to make the following amendments to the draft consultation document:

- Include wording around the solution that was developed by the group in section 1 and use in the subsection titled ‘Unintended Consequences of Input Data’ in section 4 of the draft consultation document.
- Include high-level description (one sentence) in place of the bullet points that describe the issues/actions log items.
- Remove the questions and Working Group views resulting from first consultation and place at the beginning of the collated consultation responses document as an overview.

3.3 POST MEETING NOTES:

- The draft legal text has been updated in line with Action 17/01 and acts as Attachment 5 to the minutes. The colour coded version showing amendments before and after the first consultation is included alongside the official version in the same folder.
- All items under Action 17/03 with respect to amendments to the draft consultation document have been completed and are contained in the draft consultation document which acts as Attachment 4.
- The addition of the overview of responses to each question of the first consultation and the Working Groups views has been added to the collated consultation responses document which acts as Attachment 6.

4. Next steps and Work Plan

- 4.1 The Working Group reviewed and updated the Work Plan and in doing so agreed that the next steps should cover off the following:

ElectraLink to update consultation document based on feedback at meeting and provide to Working Group for review.	W/C 1 October 2018
Working Group to meet to review draft consultation document (face to face)	11 October 2018

- 4.2 The updated the Work Plan acts as Attachment 7 to the minutes.

5. Date of Next Meeting – Thursday, 11 October 2018 at 10am

- 5.1 The Working Group agreed for the next meeting to be arranged for Thursday, 11 October 2018 at 10am and for it to be held face to face with teleconference facilities available for those who can't attend in person. The meeting will be used to review the draft consultation document.

6. Any Other Business

- 6.1 There were no items of any other business and the Chair closed the meeting.

7. List of Attachments

- Attachment 1 – DCP 266 Working Group Meeting 16 – Final Minutes
- Attachment 2_DCP 266 Background - DCMF MIG Discussions
- Attachment 3 – DCP 266 Actions and Issues Log
- Attachment 4 – DCP 266 Draft Consultation Document (Red-lined and Clean)
- Attachment 5 – DCP 266 Draft Legal Text - Updated (Official and Coloured)
- Attachment 6 - DCP 266 Collated Consultation Responses – WG Comments and Summary
- Attachment 7 – DCP 266 Work Plan

Appendix A – Actions

NEW AND OPEN ACTIONS

Action Ref.	Action	Owner	Update
13/01	<p>Complete the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Legal text is to be issued with the minutes 2) Confirm internally or with the Panel how the Working Group is to deal with the modelling support transition period as there needs to be some direction with regards to in-flight changes that have already had modelling completed 3) Separate out the actions into an Action/Log of issues. 4) Align the themes from the consultation responses to the above log. 5) Chase DNOs regarding the EHV boundary definition action. 6) Pull together a before and after of the modelling being clear to explain how the group got from the old to the new and how this relates to the issues highlighted by Parties. 	ElectraLink	<p>18/05/18</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Completed 2) Completed: Provided the Panel's view which is that inflight modelling requests should be issued to CEPA/TNEI 3) Completed 4) Completed 5) Completed: 6 responses received 6) Ongoing (consideration needed for this item due to reverting back to current PCDM set up)
14/04	Ensure that the legal text for paragraphs 24 and 25 of Schedule 29 is explicit in its instruction to use 2007/08 data. The Working Group is to ensure that this instruction is clearly explained within the next consultation document.	Working Group	<p>03/07/2018 – Ongoing</p> <p>26/09/2018 – Ongoing (legal text updated but text inclusion for consultation document still required)</p>
15/02	Ensure that it is made clear in the consultation document which definition (EHV or designated EHV) is to be used for paragraphs 24 and 25 of Schedule 29. This should entail the provision of clear supporting text.	Working Group	<p>Ongoing</p> <p>26/09/2018 – Ongoing (text inclusion for consultation document still required)</p>
17/01	Amend the draft legal text document to include the introductory sections of Schedules 17,18 and 20 which contain the model version number and	ElectraLink	

	effective date as updated versions of the models will be required as part of this change		
17/02	Provide introductory paragraph to subsection titled 'Unintended Consequences of Input Data' in section 4 of the draft consultation document.	Andrew Enzor	
17/03	<p>Make the following amendments to the draft consultation document:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Include wording around the solution that was developed by the group in section 1 and use in the subsection titled 'Unintended Consequences of Input Data' in section 4 of the draft consultation document. • Include high-level description (one sentence) in place of the bullet points that describe the issues/actions log items. • Remove the questions and Working Group views resulting from first consultation and place at the beginning of the collated consultation responses document as an overview. 	ElectraLink	

CLOSED ACTIONS

Action Ref.	Action	Owner	Update
15/01	Find the relevant definitions for EHV and designated EHV and provide to the Working Group so that a comparison be done.	ElectraLink	26/09/2018 – Completed (document circulated during meeting 16)